Miles E. Locker, CSB #103510 1 DIVISION OF LABOR STANDARDS ENFORCEMENT 2 Department of Industrial Relations State of California 3 455 Golden Gate Avenue, 9th Floor San Francisco, California 94102 4 Telephone: (415) 703-4863 Fax: (415) 703-4806 5 Attorney for State Labor Commissioner 6 7 BEFORE THE LABOR COMMISSIONER 8 9 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 No. TAC 41-02 11 LISA CENTENO, 12 Petitioner, 13 vs. 14 CMT TALENT AGENCY, aka COLOURS MODEL & ) DETERMINATION OF TALENT AGENCY, CONTROVERSY -1.5 Respondent. 16 17 The above-captioned matter, a petition to determine controversy under Labor Code §1700.44, came on regularly for 18 hearing on January 14, 2003, in Los Angeles, California, before 19 the Labor Commissioner's undersigned hearing officer. Petitioner 20 appeared in propria persona; respondent failed to appeared. 21 Based on the evidence presented at this hearing and on the other 22 papers on file in this mater, the Labor Commissioner hereby 23 24 adopts the following decision. 25 FINDINGS OF FACT CMT TALENT AGENCY (hereinafter "CMT") was most recently 26 1. 27 licensed as a talent agency by the State Labor Commissioner from

TAC 41-02 Decision

28

1

July 25, 2001 to July 24, 2002. It was licensed as a

partnership, owned by ALBERTA SELLERS and BYRON GARRETT, with a
 business address at 8344 ½ W. 3rd Street, Los Angeles,
 California.

2. COLOURS MODEL & TALENT MANAGEMENT AGENCY, INC.,
(hereinafter "COLOURS") was most recently licensed by the Labor
Commissioner as a talent agency from June 9, 2000 to March 26,
2001. It was licensed as a corporation, and ALBERTA SELLERS and
BYRON GARRETT were listed on the license application form as
corporate officers, with a business address at 8344 ½ W. 3rd
Street, Los Angeles, California.

3. By letter dated December 11, 2001 to Labor Commissioner
 attorney David Gurley, GEORGIA TRIPLETT stated that COLOURS
 ceased operations in September 2001 and that she had assumed all
 debts incurred by COLOURS prior to its closing.

4. On or about August 8, 1995, petitioner LISA CENTENO 15 executed a written agreement with COLOURS under which COLOURS was 16 to serve as petitioner's talent agency and to obtain work for 17 petitioner as a model, for which COLOURS would be entitled to 18 commissions on petitioner's modeling earnings. Sometime during 19 20 || early 2002, petitioner was advised by her agent that COLOURS was now operating under the name CMT. Petitioner was given a copy of 21 22 "Rules and Regulations" under the name Crew Models and CMT Talent Agency. The regulations conclude with an acknowledgment that 23 states: "I have received and read the above rules and regulation 24 stipulating my responsibilities while being represented by CMT 25 26 Agency."

5. In April 2002 petitioner received a telephone call from her CMT agent, informing her about a modeling job in connection

TAC 41-02 Decision

with a Sony print advertisement. Petitioner successfully
 auditioned for the job. She was told she would be paid \$1000
 less a 20% commission for CMT, for a total of \$800 for her work.
 The job was completed on April 13, 2002.

5 6. Shortly thereafter, CMT sent an invoice (under the
6 COLOURS name) to Bill Williams, the photographer for this
7 advertisement, in the amount of \$4,000 for the services of four
8 CMT represented models, including the petitioner. On May 21,
9 2002, BWP Studios paid CMT (or COLOURS) the full amount of this
10 invoice.

11 7. In September 2002, petitioner received a check in the amount of \$800 from CMT's accountant, Philip Johnson, on an 12 13 account maintained by California Commercial Theatrical Accounting (Client Trust Account). The check bore the notation that it was 14 in payment of the Sony account, for \$1,000 less a 20% commission 15 of \$200. Petitioner deposited this check with her credit union 16 and shortly thereafter received notice from her credit union that 17 the check was returned unpaid by the drawee bank due to non-18 19 sufficient funds. Petitioner then called Philip Johnson, and he advised the petitioner to re-deposit the check, assuring her that 20 it would clear. Petitioner redeposited the check, but on 21 September 26, 202 it was again returned to her by her credit 22 union as it was again unpaid by the drawee bank due to non-23 sufficient funds. Petitioner's credit union charged her a \$15 24 service fee for this NSF check. 25

26 8. To date, petitioner has not been paid for the modeling
27 work she performed in connection with the Sony commercial.

28

9. This petition was filed on November 7, 2002, and served

TAC 41-02 Decision

on CMT at its business address in Los Angeles on November 20, 1 2 2002. Notices of the hearing were sent to the parties on December 19, 2002. A few hours before the hearing, on 3 January 14, 2003, CMT, by and through its accountant Philip 4 5 Johnson, faxed a letter to the undersigned hearing officer stating that COLOURS is no longer in business and "CMT Talent 6 Agency does not have a signed contract with your client [sic] ... 7 CMT has no business relationship with Colours Model & Talent 8 Agency, Inc. Your client [sic] has filed a claim against the 9 10 wrong company."

## LEGAL ANALYSIS

Petitioner is an "artist" within the meaning of Labor
 Code section 1700.4(b). The Labor Commissioner has jurisdiction
 to issue this determination pursuant to Labor Code section
 1700.44.

Labor Code section 1700.25 provides that a licensed 16 2. talent agency that receives any payment of funds on behalf of an 17 18 artist shall immediately deposit that amount in a trust fund account maintained by him or her in a bank, and shall disburse 19 those funds, less the agent's commission, to the artist within 30 20 days after receipt. Section 1700.25 further provides that if, in 21 22 a hearing before the Labor Commissioner on a petition to 23 determine controversy, the Commissioner finds that the talent 24 agency willfully failed to disburse these amounts within the required time, the Commissioner may award interest on the 25 wrongfully withheld funds at the rate of 10% per annum. 26

27 3. Petitioner was entitled to payment of \$800 no later than
28 June 21, 2002, thirty days after it was paid to CMT (or COLOURS).

TAC 41-02 Decision

11

The failure to disburse this amount to petitioner was willful, 1 therefore entitling the petitioner to interest on this amount at the rate of 10% per annum, commencing on June 21, 2002.

4. The evidence leaves no doubt that CMT, operating both 4 under its own name and under the name COLOURS, procured 5 petitioner's modeling work on the Sony commercial. For all 6 intents and purposes, CMT acted as a successor and/or alter ego 7 of COLOURS. Regardless of whether BWP Studios paid "CMT" or 8 "COLOURS" for the modeling work performed by the petitioner, CMT 9 was legally obligated to disburse those funds (less its 10 commission) to the petitioner. 11

## ORDER

For the reasons set forth above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 13 Respondent CMT TALENT AGENCY, aka COLOURS MODEL & TALENT 14 1. AGENCY, INC., shall pay \$800.00 to petitioner as the amount owed 15 for her modeling work, plus interest in the amount of \$55.01, 16 plus \$15 as reimbursement for her NSF bank fee, for a total of 17 \$870.01. For each additional day after February 26, 2003 until 18 19 payment is made, additional interest shall accrue at the rate of 20 22 cents per day.

Dated: 2/26/03 Ε. LOCKER

Attorney for the Labor Commissioner

ADOPTED AS THE DETERMINATION OF THE LABOR COMMISSIONER:

26

27

28

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

12

2/26/03 Dated:

ARTE S. State Labor Commissioner

TAC 41-02 Decision